

MIXING RANK-ONE ACTIONS FOR INFINITE SUMS OF FINITE GROUPS

ALEXANDRE I. DANILENKO

ABSTRACT. Let G be a countable direct sum of finite groups. We construct an uncountable family of pairwise disjoint mixing (of any order) rank-one strictly ergodic free actions of G on a Cantor set. All of them possess the property of minimal self-joinings (of any order). Moreover, an example of rigid weakly mixing rank-one strictly ergodic free G -action is given.

0. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

This paper was inspired by the following question of D. Rudolph:

Question. *Which countable discrete amenable groups G have mixing (funny) rank one free actions?*

Recall that a measure preserving action $T = (T_g)_{g \in G}$ of G on a standard probability space (X, \mathfrak{B}, μ) is called

- *mixing* if $\lim_{g \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A \cap T_g B) = \mu(A)\mu(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathfrak{B}$,
- *mixing of order l* if for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $A_0, \dots, A_l \in \mathfrak{B}$, there exists a finite subset $K \subset G$ such that

$$|\mu(T_{g_0} A_0 \cap \dots \cap T_{g_l} A_l) - \mu(A_0) \cdots \mu(A_l)| < \epsilon$$

for each collection $g_0, \dots, g_l \in G$ with $g_i g_j^{-1} \notin K$ if $i \neq j$,

- *weakly mixing* if the diagonal action $T \times T := (T_g \times T_g)_{g \in G}$ of G on the product space $(X \times X, \mathfrak{B} \otimes \mathfrak{B}, \mu \times \mu)$ is ergodic,
- *totally ergodic* if every co-finite subgroup in G acts ergodically,
- *rigid* if there exists a sequence $g_n \rightarrow \infty$ in G such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A \cap T_{g_n} B) \rightarrow \mu(A \cap B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathfrak{B}$.

We say that T has *funny rank one* if there exist a sequence of measurable subsets $(A_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in X and a sequence of finite subsets $(F_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in G such that the subsets $T_g F_n, g \in F_n$, are pairwise disjoint for any n and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min_{H \subset F_n} \mu \left(B \Delta \bigsqcup_{g \in H} T_g A_n \right) = 0 \text{ for every } B \in \mathfrak{B}.$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37A40.

Key words and phrases. Joining, mixing, rank-one action.

The work was supported in part by CRDF, grant UM1-2546-KH-03.

If, moreover, $(F_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a subsequence of some ‘natural’ Følner sequence in G , we say that T has *rank one*. For instance, if $G = \mathbb{Z}^d$, this ‘natural sequence’ is just the sequence of cubes; if $G = \sum_{i=1}^\infty G_i$ with every G_i a finite group, the sequence $\sum_{i=1}^n G_i$ is ‘natural’, etc.

Up to now various examples of mixing rank-one actions were constructed for

- $G = \mathbb{Z}$ in [Or], [Ru], [Ad], [CrS], etc.,
- $G = \mathbb{Z}^2$ in [AdS],
- $G = \mathbb{R}$ in [Pr], [Fa],
- $G = \mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{Z}^{d_2}$ in [DaS].

We also mention two more constructions of rank-one actions for

- $G = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ in [Ju], where it was claimed that the \mathbb{Z} -subaction is mixing but it was only shown that it is weakly mixing, and
- G is a countable Abelian group with a subgroup \mathbb{Z}^d such that the quotient G/\mathbb{Z}^d is locally finite in [Ma], where it was proved that a \mathbb{Z} -subaction is mixing and it was asked whether the whole action is mixing.

Notice that in all of these examples G is Abelian and has elements of infinite order. In contrast to that we provide a different class of groups for which the answer to the question of D. Rudolph is affirmative.

Theorem 0.1. *Let $G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^\infty G_i$, where G_i is a non-trivial finite group for every i .*

- (i) *There exist uncountably many pairwise disjoint (and hence pairwise non-isomorphic) mixing rank-one strictly ergodic actions of G on a Cantor set. Moreover, these actions are mixing of any order.*
- (ii) *There exists a weakly mixing rigid (and hence non-mixing) rank-one strictly ergodic action of G on a Cantor set.*

Concerning (i), it is worth to note that any mixing rank-one \mathbb{Z} -action is mixing of any order by [Ka] and [Ry] (see also an extension of that to actions of some Abelian groups with elements of infinite order in [JuY]). We do not know whether this fact holds for all mixing rank-one action of countable sums of finite groups.

To prove the theorem, we combine the original Ornstein’s idea of ‘random spacer’ (in the cutting-and-stacking construction process) [Or] and the more recent (C, F) -construction developed in [Ju], [Da1], [Da2], [DaS1], [DaS2] to produce funny rank-one actions with various dynamical properties. However, unlike all of the known examples of (C, F) -actions, the actions in this paper are constructed without adding any spacer (cf. with [Ju], where all the spacers relate to \mathbb{Z} -subaction only). Instead of that on the n -th step we just cut the n -‘column’ into ‘subcolumns’ and then rotate each ‘subcolumn’ in a ‘random way’. In the limit we obtain a topological G -action on a compact Cantor space.

Our next concern is to describe all ergodic self-joinings of the G -actions constructed in Theorem 0.1. Recall a couple of definitions.

Given two ergodic G -actions T and T' on (X, \mathfrak{B}, μ) and $(X', \mathfrak{B}', \mu')$ respectively, we denote by $J(T, T')$ the set of *joinings* of T and T' , i.e. the set of $(T_g \times T'_g)_{g \in G}$ -invariant measures on $\mathfrak{B} \otimes \mathfrak{B}'$ whose marginals on \mathfrak{B} and \mathfrak{B}' are μ and μ' respectively. The corresponding dynamical system $(X \times X', \mathfrak{B} \otimes \mathfrak{B}', \mu \times \mu')$ is also called a joining of T and T' . By $J^e(T, T') \subset J(T, T')$ we denote the subset of ergodic joinings of T and T' (it is never empty). In a similar way one can define the joinings $J(T_1, \dots, T_l)$ for any finite family T_1, \dots, T_l of G -actions. If

$J(T_1, \dots, T_l) = \{\mu_1 \times \dots \times \mu_l\}$ then the family T_1, \dots, T_l is called *disjoint*. If $T_1 = \dots = T_l$ we speak about l -fold self-joinings of T_1 and use notation $J_l(T)$ for $J(\underbrace{T, \dots, T}_{l \text{ times}})$. For $g \in G$, we denote by g^\bullet the conjugacy class of g . We also let

$$\text{FC}(G) := \{g \in G \mid g^\bullet \text{ is finite}\}.$$

Clearly, $\text{FC}(G)$ is a normal subgroup of G . If G is Abelian or G is a sum of finite groups then $\text{FC}(G) = G$. For any $g \in \text{FC}(G)$, we define a measure μ_{g^\bullet} on $(X \times X, \mathfrak{B} \otimes \mathfrak{B})$ by setting

$$\mu_{g^\bullet}(A \times B) := \frac{1}{\#g^\bullet} \sum_{h \in g^\bullet} \mu(A \cap T_h B).$$

It is easy to verify that μ_{g^\bullet} is a self-joining of T . Moreover, the map $(x, T_h^{-1}x) \mapsto (x, h)$ is an isomorphism of $(X \times X, \mu_{g^\bullet}, T \times T)$ onto $(X \times g^\bullet, \mu \times \nu, \tilde{T})$, where ν is the equidistribution on g^\bullet and the G -action $\tilde{T} = (\tilde{T}_t)_{t \in G}$ is given by

$$\tilde{T}_t(x, h) = (T_t x, t h t^{-1}), \quad x \in X, \quad h \in g^\bullet.$$

It follows that \tilde{T} (and hence the self-joining μ_{g^\bullet} of T) is ergodic if and only if the action $(T_t)_{t \in C(g)}$ is ergodic, where $C(g) = \{t \in G \mid t g = g t\}$ stands for the centralizer of g in G . Notice also that $C(g)$ is a co-finite subgroup of G because of $g \in \text{FC}(G)$. Hence $\{\mu_{g^\bullet} \mid g \in \text{FC}(G)\} \subset J_2^e(T)$ whenever T is totally ergodic.

Definition 0.2. If $J_2^e(T) \subset \{\mu_{g^\bullet} \mid g \in \text{FC}(G)\} \cup \{\mu \times \mu\}$ then we say that T has *2-fold minimal self-joinings* (MSJ_2).

This definition extends naturally to higher order self-joinings as follows. Given $l \geq 1$ and $g \in G^{l+1}$, we denote by $g^{\bullet l}$ the orbit of g under the G -action on G^{l+1} by conjugations:

$$h \cdot (g_0, \dots, g_l) := (h g_0 h^{-1}, \dots, h g_l h^{-1}).$$

Let P be a partition of $\{0, \dots, l\}$. For an atom $p \in P$, we denote by i_p the minimal element in p . We say that an element $g = (g_0, \dots, g_l) \in \text{FC}(G)^{l+1}$ is *P -subordinated* if $g_{i_p} = 1_G$ for all $p \in P$. For any such g , we define a measure $\mu_{g^{\bullet l}}$ on $(X^{l+1}, \mathfrak{B}^{\otimes(l+1)})$ by setting

$$\mu_{g^{\bullet l}}(A_0 \times \dots \times A_l) := \frac{1}{\#g^{\bullet l}} \sum_{(h_0, \dots, h_l) \in g^{\bullet l}} \prod_{p \in P} \mu\left(\bigcap_{i \in p} T_{h_i} A_i\right).$$

It is easy to verify that $\mu_{g^{\bullet l}}$ is an $(l+1)$ -fold self-joining of T . Reasoning as above one can check that $\mu_{g^{\bullet l}}$ is ergodic whenever T is weakly mixing.

Definition 0.3. We say that T has *$(l+1)$ -fold minimal self-joinings* (MSJ_{l+1}) if

$$J_{l+1}^e(T) \subset \{\mu_{g^{\bullet l}} \mid g \text{ is } P\text{-subordinated for a partition } P \text{ of } \{0, \dots, l\}\}.$$

If T has MSJ_l for any $l > 1$, we say that T has MSJ .

In case G is Abelian, these definitions agree with the—common now—definitions of MSJ_{l+1} and MSJ by A. del Junco and D. Rudolph [JuR] who considered self-joinings $\mu_{g^{\bullet l}}$ only when g belongs to the center of G^{l+1} . However we find their definition somewhat restrictive for non-commutative groups since, for instance, countable sums of non-commutative finite groups can never have actions with MSJ_2 in their sense.

Now we record the second main result of this paper.

Theorem 0.4. *The actions constructed in Theorem 0.1(i) all have MSJ.*

We notice that a part of the analysis from [Ru] can be carried over to the case of G -actions with MSJ. In this paper we only show that such actions have trivial *product centralizer*. Moreover, as follows from [Da3], every G -action with MSJ₂ is *effectively prime*, i.e. has no factors except for the obvious ones: the sub- σ -algebras of subsets fixed by finite normal subgroups in G . In particular, there exist no free factors.

We now briefly summarize the organization of the paper. In Section 1 we outline the (C, F) -construction of rank-one actions as it appeared in [Da1]. In Section 2, for any countable sum G of finite groups, we construct a (C, F) -action T of G which is mixing of any order. A rigid weakly mixing action of G also appears there. In Section 3 we demonstrate that T has MSJ. In Section 4 we show how to perturb the construction of T to obtain an uncountable family of pairwise disjoint mixing rank-one G -actions with MSJ. In the final Section 5 we discuss some implications of MSJ: trivial centralizer, trivial product centralizer and effective primality.

Acknowledgement. The author thanks the referee for the useful suggestions that improved the paper. In particular, in the present proof of Theorem 0.4 we deduce MSJ _{l} from the l -fold mixing (as J. King does for \mathbb{Z} -actions in [Ki]). Our original proof (independent of multiple mixing) was longer and noticeably more complicated.

1. (C, F) -CONSTRUCTION

In this section we recall the (C, F) -construction of rank-one actions.

From now on $G = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i$, where G_i is a non-trivial finite group for each $i \geq 1$. To construct a probability preserving (C, F) -action of G (see [Ju], [Da1], [DaS2]) we need to define two sequences $(F_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $(C_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of finite subsets in G such that the following are satisfied:

$$(1-1) \quad (F_n)_{n \geq 0} \text{ is a Folner sequence in } G, \quad F_0 = \{1_G\},$$

$$(1-2) \quad F_n C_{n+1} \subset F_{n+1}, \quad C_{n+1} > 1,$$

$$(1-3) \quad F_n c \cap F_n c' = \emptyset \text{ for all } c \neq c' \in C_{n+1},$$

$$(1-4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#F_n}{\#C_1 \cdots \#C_n} < \infty.$$

Suppose that an increasing sequence of integers $0 < k_1 < k_2 < \cdots$ is given. Then we define $(F_n)_{n \geq 0}$ by setting $F_0 := \{1_G\}$ and $F_n := \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} G_i$ for $n \geq 1$. Clearly, (1-1) is satisfied. Suppose now that we are also given a sequence of maps $s_n : H_n \rightarrow F_n$, where $H_0 := \sum_{i=1}^{k_1} G_i$ and $H_n := \sum_{i=k_{n+1}}^{k_{n+1}} G_i$ for $n \geq 1$. Then we define two sequences of maps $c_{n+1}, \phi_n : H_n \rightarrow F_{n+1}$ by setting $\phi_n(h) := (0, h)$ and $c_{n+1}(h) := (s_n(h), h)$. Finally, we let $C_{n+1} := c_{n+1}(H_n)$ for all $n \geq 0$. It is easy to verify that (1-2)–(1-4) are all fulfilled. Moreover, a stronger version of (1-2) holds:

$$(1-5) \quad F_n C_{n+1} = F_{n+1}.$$

We now put $X_n := F_n \times C_{n+1} \times C_{n+2} \times \cdots$ and define a map $i_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$ by setting

$$i_n(f_n, d_{n+1}, d_{n+2}, \dots) := (f_n d_{n+1}, d_{n+2}, \dots).$$

Clearly, X_n is a compact Cantor space. It follows from (1-5) and (1-3) that i_n is well defined and it is a homeomorphism of X_n onto X_{n+1} . Denote by X the

topological inductive limit of the sequence $(X_n, i_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. As a topological space X is canonically homeomorphic to any X_n and in the sequel we will often identify X with X_n suppressing the canonical identification maps. We need the structure of inductive limit to define the (C, F) -action T on X as follows. Given $g \in G$, consider any $n \geq 0$ such that $g \in F_n$. Every $x \in X$ can be written as an infinite sequence $x = (f_n, d_{n+1}, d_{n+2}, \dots)$ with $f_n \in F_n$ and $d_m \in C_m$ for $m > n$ (i.e. we identify X with X_n). Now we put

$$T_g x := (gf_n, d_{n+1}, d_{n+2}, \dots) \in X_n.$$

It is easy to verify that T_g is a well defined homeomorphism of X . Moreover, $T_g T_{g'} = T_{gg'}$, i.e. $T := (T_g)_{g \in G}$ is a topological action of G on X .

Definition 1.1. We call T the (C, F) -action of G associated with $(k_n, s_{n-1})_{n=1}^\infty$.

We list without proof several properties of T . They can be verified easily by the reader (see also [Da1]).

- T is a minimal uniquely ergodic (i.e. strictly ergodic) free action of G .
- Two points $x = (f_n, d_{n+1}, d_{n+2}, \dots)$ and $x' = (f'_n, d'_{n+1}, d'_{n+2}, \dots) \in X_n$ are T -orbit equivalent if and only if $d_i = d'_i$ eventually (i.e. for all large enough i). Moreover, $x' = T_g x$ if and only if

$$g = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f'_n d'_{n+1} \cdots d'_{n+i} d_{n+i}^{-1} \cdots d_{n+1}^{-1} f_n^{-1}.$$

- The only T -invariant probability measure μ on X is the product of the equidistributions on F_n and C_{n+i} , $i \in \mathbb{N}$ (if X is identified with X_n).

For each $A \subset F_n$, we let $[A]_n := \{x = (f_n, d_{n+1}, \dots) \in X_n \mid f_n \in A\}$ and call it an n -cylinder. The following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} [A]_n \cap [B]_n &= [A \cap B]_n, \text{ and } [A]_n \cup [B]_n = [A \cup B]_n, \\ [A]_n &= \bigsqcup_{d \in C_{n+1}} [Ad]_{n+1}, \\ T_g [A]_n &= [gA]_n \text{ if } g \in F_n, \\ \mu([Ad]_{n+1}) &= \frac{1}{\#C_{n+1}} \mu([A]_n) \text{ for any } d \in C_{n+1}, \\ \mu([A]_n) &= \lambda_{F_n}(A), \end{aligned}$$

where λ_{F_n} is the normalized Haar measure on F_n . Moreover, for each measurable subset $B \subset X$,

$$(1-6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min_{A \subset F_n} \mu(B \Delta [A]_n) = 0.$$

Hence T has rank one.

2. MIXING (C, F) -ACTIONS

Our purpose in this section is to construct a rank-one action of G which is mixing of any order. This action will appear as a (C, F) -action associated with some specially selected sequence $(k_n, s_{n-1})_{n \geq 1}$. We first state several preliminary results.

Given finite sets A and B and a map $x \in A^B$, we denote by $\text{dist } x$ or $\text{dist}_{b \in B} x(b)$ the measure $(\#B)^{-1} \sum_{b \in B} \chi_{x(b)}$ on A . Here $\chi_{x(b)}$ stands for the probability supported at the point $x(b)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let A be a finite set and let λ be the equidistribution on A . Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $c > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any finite set B with $\#B > m$,*

$$\lambda^B(\{x \in A^B \mid \|\text{dist } x - \lambda\| > \epsilon\}) < e^{-c\#B}.$$

For the proof we refer to [Or] or [Ru]. We will also use the following combinatorial lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *For any $l \in \mathbb{N}$, let $N_l := 3^{l(l-1)/2}$ and $\delta_l := 5^{-l(l-1)/2}$. Let H be a finite group. Then for any family h_1, \dots, h_l of mutually different elements of H and any subset $B \subset H$ with $\#B > 3/\delta_l$, there exists a partition of B into subsets B_i , $1 \leq i \leq N_l$, such that the subsets $h_1 B_i, h_2 B_i, \dots, h_l B_i$ are mutually disjoint and $\#B_i \geq \delta_l \#B$ for any i .*

Proof. We leave to the reader the simplest case when $l = 2$. Hint: assume that $h_1 = 1_H$ and consider the partition of H into the right cosets by the cyclic group generated by h_2 .

Suppose that we already proved the assertion of the lemma for some l and we want to prove it for $l + 1$. Take any $h_1 \neq h_2 \neq \dots \neq h_{l+1} \in H$ (in such a way we denote mutually different elements of H). Given a subset $B \subset H$ with $\#B > 3/\delta_l$, we first partition B into subsets B_i , $1 \leq i \leq N_l$, such that the subsets $h_2 B_i, h_3 B_i, \dots, h_{l+1} B_i$ are mutually disjoint and $\#B_i \geq \delta_l \#B \geq 3 \cdot 5^l$. For every i , there exists a partition $B_i = \bigsqcup_{i_1=1}^3 B_{i,i_1}$ such that $h_1 B_{i,i_1} \cap h_2 B_{i,i_1} = \emptyset$ and $\#B_{i,i_1} \geq 0.2\#B_i$, $1 \leq i_1 \leq 3$. Next, we partition every B_{i,i_1} into 3 subsets B_{i,i_1,i_2} such that $h_1 B_{i,i_1,i_2} \cap h_3 B_{i,i_1,i_2} = \emptyset$ and $\#B_{i,i_1,i_2} \geq 0.2\#B_{i,i_1}$, $1 \leq i_2 \leq 3$, and so on. Finally, we obtain a partition

$$B = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{N_l} \bigsqcup_{i_1, \dots, i_l=1}^3 B_{i,i_1, \dots, i_l}$$

which is as desired. \square

Given a finite set A , a finite group H and elements $h_1, \dots, h_l \in H$, we denote by π_{h_1, \dots, h_l} the map $A^H \rightarrow (A^l)^H$ given by

$$(\pi_{h_1, \dots, h_l} x)(k) = (x(h_1 k), \dots, x(h_l k)).$$

For $x \in A^H$, we define $x^* \in A^H$ by setting $x^*(h) := x(h^{-1})$, $h \in H$.

Lemma 2.3. *Given $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any finite group H with $\#H > m$, one can find $s \in A^H$ such that*

$$(2-1) \quad \|\text{dist } \pi_{h_1, \dots, h_l} s - \lambda^l\| < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|\text{dist } \pi_{h_1, \dots, h_l} s^* - \lambda^l\| < \epsilon$$

for all $h_1 \neq h_2 \neq \dots \neq h_l \in H$.

Proof. Take any finite group H and set

$$B_H := \bigcup_{h_1 \neq \dots \neq h_l \in H} \{x \in A^H \mid \|\text{dist } \pi_{h_1, \dots, h_l} x - \lambda^l\| > \epsilon\}.$$

To prove the left hand side inequality in (2-1) it suffices to show that $\lambda^H(B_H) < 1$ whenever $\#H$ is large enough. Moreover, since the map $A^H \ni x \mapsto x^* \in A^H$ preserves the measure λ^H , the right hand side inequality in (2-1) will follow from the left hand side one if we prove that $\lambda^H(B_H) < 0.5$.

Fix $h_1 \neq \dots \neq h_l \in H$ and apply Lemma 2.2 to partition H into subsets H_i , $1 \leq i \leq N_l$, such that

$$(2-2) \quad \#H_i \geq \delta_l \#H \quad \text{and}$$

$$(2-3) \quad \text{the subsets } h_1 H_i, \dots, h_l H_i \text{ are mutually disjoint}$$

for every i . Denote by $r_i : (A^l)^H \rightarrow (A^l)^{H_i}$ the natural restriction map. Then we deduce from (2-3) that $r_i \circ \pi_{h_1, \dots, h_l}$ maps λ^H onto $(\lambda^l)^{H_i}$. Since $\text{dist } \pi_{h_1, \dots, h_l} x = \sum_i (\#H_i / \#H) \cdot \text{dist}(r_i \circ \pi_{h_1, \dots, h_l})x$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^H(\{x \in A^H \mid \|\text{dist } \pi_{h_1, \dots, h_l} x - \lambda^l\| > \epsilon\}) \\ \leq \sum_i \lambda^H(\{x \in A^H \mid \|\text{dist}(r_i \circ \pi_{h_1, \dots, h_l})x - \lambda^l\| > \epsilon\}) \\ = \sum_i (\lambda^l)^{H_i}(\{y \in (A^l)^{H_i} \mid \|\text{dist } y - \lambda^l\| > \epsilon\}). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.2 and (2-2), there exists $c > 0$ such that if $\#H$ is large enough then the i -th term in the latter sum is less than $e^{-c\#H_i} < e^{-c\delta_l \#H}$. Hence

$$\lambda^H(B_H) \leq N_l \binom{\#H}{l} e^{-c\delta_l \#H}$$

and the assertion of the lemma follows. \square

Now we are ready to define the sequence $(k_n, s_{n-1})_{n \geq 1}$. Fix a sequence of positive reals $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$. On the first step one can take arbitrary k_1 and s_0 . Suppose now—on the n -th step—we already have k_n and s_{n-1} and we want to define k_{n+1} and s_n . For this, we apply Lemma 2.3 with $A := F_n$, $l := n$ and $\epsilon := \epsilon_n$ to find k_{n+1} large so that there exists $s_n \in A^{H_n}$ satisfying

$$(2-4) \quad \|\text{dist } \pi_{h_1, \dots, h_n} s_n - (\lambda_{F_n})^n\| < \epsilon_n \quad \text{for all } h_1 \neq \dots \neq h_n \in H_n.$$

Recall that $H_n := \sum_{i=k_{n+1}}^{k_n+1} G_i$ and $F_n := \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} G_i$ for $n \geq 1$. Without loss of generality we may also assume that $k_{n+1} - k_n \geq n$ and hence $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\#H_n)^{-1} < \infty$.

Denote by T the (C, F) -action of G on (X, \mathfrak{B}, μ) associated with $(k_n, s_{n-1})_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

Theorem 2.4. *T is mixing of any order.*

Proof. (I) We first show that T is mixing (of order 1).

Recall that a sequence $g_n \rightarrow \infty$ in G is called *mixing for T* if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(T_{g_n} B_1 \cap B_2) = \mu(B_1)\mu(B_2) \quad \text{for all } B_1, B_2 \in \mathfrak{B}.$$

Clearly, T is mixing if and only if any sequence going to infinity in G contains a mixing subsequence. Since every subsequence of a mixing sequence is mixing itself, to prove (I) it suffices to show that every sequence $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in G with $g_n \in F_{n+1} \setminus F_n$

for all n is mixing. Notice first that there exist (unique) $f_n \in F_n$ and $h_n \in H_n \setminus \{1\}$ with $g_n = f_n \phi_n(h_n)$. Fix any two subsets $A, B \subset F_n$. We notice that for each $h \in H_n$,

$$g_n A c_{n+1}(h) = f_n A s_n(h) \phi_n(h_n h) = f_n A s_n(h) s_n(h_n h)^{-1} c_{n+1}(h_n h)$$

and $f_n A s_n(h) s_n(h_n h)^{-1} \subset F_n$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
(2-5) \quad \mu(T_{g_n}[A]_n \cap [B]_n) &= \sum_{h \in H_n} \mu(T_{g_n}[A c_{n+1}(h)]_{n+1} \cap [B]_n) \\
&= \sum_{h \in H_n} \mu([f_n A s_n(h) s_n(h_n h)^{-1} c_{n+1}(h_n h)]_{n+1} \cap [B]_n) \\
&= \sum_{h \in H_n} \mu([(f_n A s_n(h) s_n(h_n h)^{-1} \cap B) c_{n+1}(h_n h)]_{n+1}) \\
&= \frac{1}{\#H_n} \sum_{h \in H_n} \mu([f_n A s_n(h) s_n(h_n h)^{-1} \cap B]_n) \\
&= \frac{1}{\#H_n} \sum_{h \in H_n} \lambda_{F_n}(f_n A s_n(h) \cap B s_n(h_n h)).
\end{aligned}$$

We define a map $r_{A,B} : F_n \times F_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by setting

$$r_{A,B}(g, g') := \lambda_{F_n}(f_n A g \cap B g').$$

Then it follows from (2-5) and (2-4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(T_{g_n}[A]_n \cap [B]_n) &= \int_{F_n \times F_n} r_{A,B} d(\text{dist } \pi_{1, h_n} s_n) \\
&= \int_{F_n \times F_n} r_{A,B} d\lambda_{F_n \times F_n} \pm \epsilon_n \\
&= \int_{F_n \times F_n} \lambda_{F_n}(f_n A g \cap B g') d\lambda_{F_n}(g) d\lambda_{F_n}(g') \pm \epsilon_n \\
&= \lambda_{F_n}(A) \lambda_{F_n}(B) \pm \epsilon_n \\
&= \mu([A]_n) \mu([B]_n) \pm \epsilon_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$(2-6) \quad \max_{A, B \subset F_n} |\mu(T_{g_n}[A]_n \cap [B]_n) - \mu([A]_n) \mu([B]_n)| < \epsilon_n.$$

This and (1-6) imply that the sequence $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is mixing.

(II) Now we fix $l > 1$ and prove that T is mixing of order l . To this end it is sufficient to show the following: given $l + 1$ sequences $(g_{0,n})_{n=1}^{\infty}, \dots, (g_{l,n})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in G such that $g_{i,n} \in F_{n+1}$ and $g_{i,n} g_{j,n}^{-1} \notin F_n$ whenever $i \neq j$,

$$\max_{A_0, \dots, A_l} |\mu(T_{g_{0,n}}[A_0]_n \cap \dots \cap T_{g_{l,n}}[A_l]_n) - \mu([A_0]_n) \dots \mu([A_l]_n)| < \epsilon_n$$

for all $n > l$. Notice that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq j \leq l$, there exist unique $f_{j,n} \in F_n$ and $h_{j,n} \in H_n$ with $g_{j,n} = f_{j,n}\phi_n(h_{j,n})$. Moreover, $h_{0,n} \neq h_{2,n} \cdots \neq h_{1,n}$. Then slightly modifying the argument in (I), we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mu(T_{g_{0,n}}[A_0]_n \cap \cdots \cap T_{g_{l,n}}[A_l]_n) \\
(2-7) \quad &= \int_{F_n^l} \lambda_{F_n}(f_{0,n}A_0g_0 \cap \cdots \cap f_{l,n}A_lg_l) d(\lambda_{F_n})^{l+1}(g_0, \dots, g_l) \pm \epsilon_n \\
&= \lambda_{F_n}(A_0) \cdots \lambda_{F_n}(A_l) \pm \epsilon_n = \mu([A_0]_n) \cdots \mu([A_l]_n) \pm \epsilon_n.
\end{aligned}$$

□

To construct a weakly mixing rigid action of G we define another sequence $(\tilde{k}_n, \tilde{s}_{n-1})_{n \geq 1}$. When n is odd, we choose \tilde{k}_n and \tilde{s}_{n-1} to satisfy the following weaker version of (2-4):

$$(2-8) \quad \max_{1 \neq h \in H_n} \|\text{dist } \pi_{1,h} s_n - \lambda_{F_n} \times \lambda_{F_n}\| < \epsilon_n.$$

When n is even, we just set $\tilde{k}_n := \tilde{k}_{n-1} + 1$ and $\tilde{s}_n \equiv 1_G$. Denote by \tilde{T} the (C, F) -action of G on $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}, \tilde{\mu})$ associated with $(\tilde{k}_n, \tilde{s}_{n-1})_{n=1}^\infty$.

Theorem 2.5. *\tilde{T} is weakly mixing and rigid.*

Proof. Take any sequence $h_n \in H_{2n} \setminus \{1\}$. It follows from the part (I) of the proof of Theorem 2.4 and (2-8) that the sequence $(\phi_{2n}(h_n))_{n=1}^\infty$ is mixing for \tilde{T} . Clearly, it is also mixing for $\tilde{T} \times \tilde{T}$. Hence $\tilde{T} \times \tilde{T}$ is ergodic, i.e. \tilde{T} is weakly mixing.

Now take any sequence $h_n \in H_{2n+1} \setminus \{1\}$. Notice that (2-5) holds for any choice of $(k_n, s_{n-1})_{n \geq 1}$. Hence we deduce from (2-5) and the definition of \tilde{s}_{2n+1} that

$$\mu(\tilde{T}_{\phi_{2n+1}(h_n)}[A]_{2n+1} \cap [B]_{2n+1}) = \lambda_{F_{2n+1}}(A \cap B) = \mu([A \cap B]_{2n+1})$$

for all subsets $A, B \subset F_{2n+1}$. This plus (1-6) yield

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\tilde{T}_{\phi_{2n+1}(h_n)} \tilde{A} \cap \tilde{B}) = \mu(\tilde{A} \cap \tilde{B})$$

for all $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}$. This means that \tilde{T} is rigid. □

3. SELF-JOININGS OF T

This section is devoted entirely to the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *The action T constructed in the previous section has MSJ.*

Proof. (I) We first show that T has MSJ_2 . Since T is weakly mixing, we need to establish that

$$J_2^e(T) = \{\mu_{g^\bullet} \mid g \in G\} \cup \{\mu \times \mu\}.$$

Take any $\nu \in J_2^e(T)$. Let \mathfrak{F}_n denote the sub- σ -algebra of $(T_g \times T_g)_{g \in F_n}$ -invariant subsets. Then $\mathfrak{F}_1 \supset \mathfrak{F}_2 \supset \cdots$ and $\bigcap_n \mathfrak{F}_n = \{\emptyset, X \times X\} \pmod{\nu}$. Since there are only countably many cylinders, we deduce from the martingale convergence theorem that for ν -a.a. (x, x') ,

$$(3-1) \quad E(\chi_{B \times B'} | \mathfrak{F}_{n-1})(x, x') = \frac{1}{\#F_{n-1}} \sum_{g \in F_{n-1}} \chi_{B \times B'}(T_g x, T_g x') \rightarrow \nu(B \times B')$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any pair of cylinders $B, B' \subset X$. Fix such a point (x, x') . It is called *generic* for $(T \times T, \nu)$. Given any $n > 0$, we can write x and x' as infinite sequences

$$x = (f_n, d_{n+1}, d_{n+2}, \dots) \quad \text{and} \quad x' = (f'_n, d'_{n+1}, d'_{n+2}, \dots)$$

with $f_n, f'_n \in F_n$ and $d_i, d'_i \in C_i$ for all $i > n$. Recall that $f_n := f_0 d_1 \cdots d_n$ and $f'_n := f'_0 d'_1 \cdots d'_n$. We set $t_n := f'_n f_n^{-1}$, $n > 0$. Fix a pair of cylinders, say m -cylinders, B and B' . If $n > m$ and $g \in F_n$ then $T_g x' = (g f'_n, d'_{n+1}, d'_{n+2}, \dots)$. Hence $T_g x' \in B'$ if and only if $T_g T_{t_n} x \in B'$. Therefore

$$\chi_{B \times B'}(T_g x, T_g x') = \chi_{T_g^{-1} B \cap T_{t_n}^{-1} T_g^{-1} B'}(x).$$

Since x is generic for (T, μ) , it follows that

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\#F_l} \sum_{a \in F_l} \chi_{T_g^{-1} B \cap T_{t_n}^{-1} T_g^{-1} B'}(T_a x) = \mu(T_g^{-1} B \cap T_{t_n}^{-1} T_g^{-1} B').$$

Therefore (3-1) yields

$$(3-2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\#F_{n-1}} \sum_{g \in F_{n-1}} \mu(T_g^{-1} B \cap T_{t_n}^{-1} T_g^{-1} B') = \nu(B \times B').$$

Consider now two cases. If $t_n \notin F_{n-1}$ for infinitely many n then passing to the limit in (3-2) along this subsequence and making use of (2-6) we obtain that $\mu(B)\mu(B') = \nu(B \times B')$. Hence $\mu \times \mu = \nu$. If, otherwise, there exists $N > 0$ such that $t_n \in F_{n-1}$, i.e. $d_n = d'_n$, for all $n > N$ then x and x' are T -orbit equivalent, $t_n = t_N$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\#F_{n-1}} \sum_{g \in F_{n-1}} \mu(T_g^{-1} B \cap T_{t_n}^{-1} T_g^{-1} B') &= \frac{1}{\#F_N} \sum_{g \in F_N} \mu(B \cap T_g T_{t_N}^{-1} T_g^{-1} B') \\ &= \mu_{(t_N^{-1})^\bullet}(B \times B') \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit in (3-1) we obtain that $\nu = \mu_{(t_N^{-1})^\bullet}$.

(II) Now we fix $l > 1$ and show that T has MSJ $_{l+1}$. Take any joining $\nu \in J_{l+1}^e(T)$ and fix a generic point (x_0, \dots, x_l) for $(T \times \cdots \times T, \nu)$. Define a partition P of $\{0, \dots, l\}$ by setting: i_1 and i_2 are in the same atom of P if x_{i_1} and x_{i_2} are T -orbit equivalent. As in (I), for any n , we can write

$$x_j = (f_{j,n-1}, d_{j,n}, d_{j,n+1}, \dots) \in X_{n-1}, \quad j = 0, \dots, l.$$

Suppose first that $\#P = l + 1$, i.e. P is the finest possible. Then by the proof of (I), each 2-dimensional marginal of ν is $\mu \times \mu$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\#C_i)^{-1} < \infty$ and $\mu = \lambda_{F_0} \times \lambda_{C_1} \times \lambda_{C_2} \times \cdots$, it follows from the Borel-Cantelli lemma that for ν -a.a. $(y_0, \dots, y_l) \in X^{l+1}$,

$$\exists N > 0 \quad \text{such that } y_{0,i} \neq y_{1,i} \neq \cdots \neq y_{l,i} \quad \text{whenever } i > N,$$

where $y_{j,i} \in C_i$ is the i -th coordinate of $y_j \in F_0 \times C_1 \times C_2 \times \cdots$. Hence without loss of generality we may assume that this condition is satisfied for (x_0, \dots, x_l) . Thus, if we set $t_{j,n} := f_{j,n} f_{0,n}^{-1} = f_{j,n-1} d_{j,n} d_{0,n}^{-1} f_{0,n-1}^{-1}$ then $t_{j,n} t_{i,n}^{-1} \notin F_{n-1}$

whenever $i \neq j$. Slightly modifying our reasoning in (I) and making use of (2-7) instead of (2-6) we now obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu(B_0 \times \cdots \times B_l) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{g \in F_{n-1}} \chi_{B_0 \times \cdots \times B_l}(T_g x_0, \dots, T_g x_l) \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{g \in F_{n-1}} \chi_{B_0 \times \cdots \times B_l}(T_g x_0, T_g T_{t_{1,n}} x_0, \dots, T_g T_{t_{l,n}} x_0) \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{g \in F_{n-1}} \mu(T_g B_0 \cap T_{t_{1,n}}^{-1} T_g B_1 \cap \cdots \cap T_{t_{l,n}}^{-1} T_g B_l) \\
&= \mu(B_0) \cdots \mu(B_l)
\end{aligned}$$

for any $(l+1)$ -tuple of cylinders B_0, \dots, B_l . Hence $\nu = \mu \times \cdots \times \mu$.

Consider now the general case and put $t_{j,n} := f_{j,n} f_{i_p,n}^{-1}$ for each $j \in p$, $p \in P$. Recall that $i_p = \min_{j \in p} j$. Then

$$\chi_{B_0 \times \cdots \times B_l}(T_g x_0, \dots, T_g x_l) = \prod_{p \in P} \chi_{A_p}(x_{i_p}),$$

where $A_p := \bigcap_{j \in p} T_{t_{j,n}}^{-1} T_g^{-1} B_j$. Notice that the point $(x_{i_p})_{p \in P} \in X^{\{i_p | p \in P\}}$ is generic for $(T \times \cdots \times T (\#P \text{ times}), \kappa)$, where κ stands for the projection of ν onto $X^{\{i_p | p \in P\}}$. By the first part of (II), $\kappa = \mu \times \cdots \times \mu (\#P \text{ times})$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu(B_0 \times \cdots \times B_l) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\#F_{n-1}} \sum_{g \in F_{n-1}} \chi_{B_0 \times \cdots \times B_l}(T_g x_0, \dots, T_g x_l) \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\#F_{n-1}} \sum_{g \in F_{n-1}} \prod_{p \in P} \mu(A_p).
\end{aligned}$$

As in (I), a ‘stabilization’ property holds: there exists $M > 0$ such that $t_{j,n} = t_{j,M}$ for all $n > M$. We now set $g := (t_{0,M}^{-1}, \dots, t_{l,M}^{-1})$. Clearly, g is P -subordinated. Hence

$$\nu(B_0 \times \cdots \times B_l) = \frac{1}{\#F_M} \sum_{g \in F_M} \prod_{p \in P} \mu \left(\bigcap_{j \in p} T_g T_{t_{j,M}} T_g^{-1} B_j \right) = \mu_{g \bullet l}(B_0 \times \cdots \times B_l).$$

□

4. UNCOUNTABLY MANY MIXING ACTIONS WITH MSJ

In this section the proof of Theorems 0.1(i) and 0.4 will be completed. We first apply Lemma 2.3 to construct k_{n+1} and $s_n, \widehat{s}_n \in F_n^{H_n}$ in such a way that (2-4) is satisfied for both s_n and \widehat{s}_n and, in addition,

$$(4-1) \quad \|\text{dist}_{h \in H_n}(s_n(hk), \widehat{s}_n(hk')) - \lambda_{F_n} \times \lambda_{F_n}\| < \epsilon_n$$

for all $k, k' \in H_n$. Next, given $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $s_n^\sigma : H_n \rightarrow F_n$ by setting

$$s_n^\sigma = \begin{cases} s_n & \text{if } \sigma(n) = 0, \\ \widehat{s}_n & \text{if } \sigma(n) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Now we denote by T^σ the (C, F) -action of G associated with $(k_n, s_{n-1}^\sigma)_{n=1}^\infty$. Let Σ be an uncountable subset of $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that for any $\sigma, \sigma' \in \Sigma$, the subset $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \sigma(n) \neq \sigma'(n)\}$ is infinite.

Theorem 4.1.

- (i) For any $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, the action T^σ is mixing and has MSJ.
- (ii) If $\sigma, \sigma' \in \Sigma$ and $\sigma \neq \sigma'$ then T^σ and $T^{\sigma'}$ are disjoint.

Proof. (i) follows from the proof of Theorem 3.1, since (2-4) is satisfied for s_n^σ for all $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(ii) Let $\nu \in J^e(T^\sigma, T^{\sigma'})$. Take a generic point (x, x') for $(T^\sigma \times T^{\sigma'}, \nu)$. Consider any n such that $\sigma(n) \neq \sigma'(n)$. Then we can write x and x' as infinite sequences $x = (f_n, d_{n+1}, d_{n+2}, \dots)$ and $x' = (f'_n, d'_{n+1}, d'_{n+2}, \dots)$ with $f_n, f'_n \in F_n$ and $d_m, d'_m \in C_m$ for all $m > n$. Take any $g \in F_{n+1}$. Then we have the following expansions

$$g = a\phi_n(h), \quad d_{n+1} = s_n^\sigma(h_n)\phi_n(h_n) \quad \text{and} \quad d'_{n+1} = s_n^{\sigma'}(h'_n)\phi_n(h'_n)$$

for some uniquely determined $a \in F_n$ and $h, h_n, h'_n \in H_n$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} g f_n d_{n+1} &= a f_n s_n^\sigma(h_n) s_n^\sigma(h h_n)^{-1} c_{n+1}(h h_n) \quad \text{and} \\ g f'_n d'_{n+1} &= a f'_n s_n^{\sigma'}(h'_n) s_n^{\sigma'}(h h'_n)^{-1} c_{n+1}(h h'_n), \end{aligned}$$

the following holds for any pair of subsets $A, A' \subset F_n$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\#\{g \in F_{n+1} \mid (T_g^\sigma x, T_g^{\sigma'} x') \in [A]_n \times [A']_n\}}{\#F_{n+1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\#F_n} \sum_{a \in F_n} \frac{\#\{h \in H_n \mid a f_n s_n^\sigma(h_n) s_n^\sigma(h h_n)^{-1} \in A, \quad a f'_n s_n^{\sigma'}(h'_n) s_n^{\sigma'}(h h'_n)^{-1} \in A'\}}{\#H_n} \\ &= \frac{1}{\#F_n} \sum_{a \in F_n} \xi_n(A^{-1} a f_n s_n^\sigma(h_n) \times A'^{-1} a f'_n s_n^{\sigma'}(h'_n)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_n := \text{dist}_{h \in H_n}(s_n^\sigma(h h_n), s_n^{\sigma'}(h h'_n))$. This and (4-1) yield

$$\begin{aligned} (4-2) \quad \frac{\#\{g \in F_{n+1} \mid (T_g^\sigma x, T_g^{\sigma'} x') \in [A]_n \times [A']_n\}}{\#F_{n+1}} &= \lambda_{F_n}(A) \lambda_{F_n}(A') \pm \epsilon_n \\ &= \mu([A]_n) \mu([A']_n) \pm \epsilon_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since (x, x') is generic for $(T^\sigma \times T^{\sigma'}, \nu)$ and (4-2) holds for infinitely many n , we deduce that $\nu = \mu \times \mu$. \square

By refining the above argument the reader can strengthen Theorem 0.1(i) as follows: there exists an uncountable family of mixing (of any order) rank-one G -actions with MSJ such that any finite subfamily of it is disjoint.

5. ON G -ACTIONS WITH MSJ

It follows immediately from Definition 0.2 that if T has MSJ₂ then the centralizer $C(T)$ of T is ‘trivial’, i.e. $C(T) = \{T_g \mid g \in C(G)\}$, where $C(G)$ denotes the center of G . Moreover, we will show that T has *trivial product centralizer* (as D. Rudolph did in [Ru] for \mathbb{Z} -actions).

Let $(X^l, \mathfrak{B}^{\otimes l}, \mu^l, T^{(l)})$ denote the l -fold Cartesian product of $(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu, T)$. Given a permutation σ of $\{1, \dots, l\}$ and $g_1, \dots, g_n \in C(T)$, we define a transformation $U_{\sigma, g_1, \dots, g_l}$ of $(X^l, \mathfrak{B}^{\otimes l}, \mu^l, T^{(l)})$ by setting

$$U_{\sigma, g_1, \dots, g_l}(x_1, \dots, x_l) := (T_{g_1} x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, T_{g_l} x_{\sigma(l)}).$$

Of course, $U_{\sigma, g_1, \dots, g_l} \in C(T^{(l)})$. We show that for the actions with MSJ, the converse also holds.

Proposition 5.1. *If T has MSJ then for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$, each element of $C(T^{(l)})$ equals to $U_{\sigma, g_1, \dots, g_l}$ for some permutation σ and elements $g_1, \dots, g_l \in C(G)$.*

Proof. Let $S \in C(T^{(l)})$. We define an ergodic 2-fold self-joining ν of $T^{(l)}$ by setting $\nu(A \times B) := \mu^l(A \cap S^{-1}B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathfrak{B}^{\otimes l}$. Notice that $\nu \in J_{2l}^e(T)$. Since T has MSJ $_{2l}$, there exists a partition P of $\{1, \dots, 2l\}$ and a P -subordinated element $g = (g_1, \dots, g_{2l}) \in \text{FC}(G)^{2l}$ such that

$$(5-1) \quad \nu(A_1 \times \dots \times A_{2l}) = \frac{1}{\#g^{\bullet 2l}} \sum_{(h_1, \dots, h_{2l}) \in g^{\bullet 2l}} \prod_{p \in P} \mu \left(\bigcap_{i \in p} T_{h_i} A_i \right).$$

for all subsets $A_1, \dots, A_{2l} \in \mathfrak{B}$. Substituting at first $A_1 = \dots = A_l = X$ and then $A_{l+1} = \dots = A_{2l} = X$ in (5-1) we derive that $\#P = l$, $\#p = 2$ for all $p \in P$ and $\#g^{\bullet 2l} = 1$. Hence $g_1, \dots, g_{2l} \in C(G)$ and there exists a bijection σ of $\{1, \dots, l\}$ such that $P = \{\{i, \sigma(i) + l\} \mid i = 1, \dots, l\}$. Therefore in follows from (5-1) that

$$S^{-1}(A_{l+1} \times \dots \times A_{2l}) = T_{g_{l+1}} A_{l+\sigma(1)} \times \dots \times T_{g_{2l}} A_{l+\sigma(l)}.$$

□

As a simple corollary we derive that if T has MSJ then the G -actions $T, T^{(2)}, \dots$ and $T \times T \times \dots$ are pairwise non-isomorphic.

After this paper was submitted the author introduced a companion to MSJ concept of *simplicity* for actions of locally compact second countable groups [Da3]. As appeared, this concept is more general than the simplicity in the sense of A. del Junco and D. Rudolph [JuR] even for \mathbb{Z} -actions. For instance, there exist simple transformations which are disjoint from all 2-fold del Junco-Rudolph's-simple ones. It is shown in [Da3] that an analogue of Veech theorem on the structure of factors holds for this extended class of simple actions. In particular, if T has MSJ $_2$ then for every non-trivial factor \mathfrak{F} of T there exists a compact normal subgroup K of G such that

$$\mathfrak{F} = \text{Fix } K := \{A \in \mathfrak{B} \mid \mu(T_k A \Delta A) = 0 \text{ for all } k \in K\}.$$

Thus if T has MSJ $_2$ then T is *effectively prime*, i.e. T has no effective factors. (Recall that a G -action Q is called effective if $Q_g \neq \text{Id}$ for each $g \neq 1_G$.)

REFERENCES

- [Ad] T. Adams, *Smorodinsky's conjecture on rank one systems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1998), 739–744.
- [AdS] T. Adams and C. E. Silva, *\mathbb{Z}^d -staircase actions*, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. **19** (1999), 837–850.
- [CrS] D. Creutz and C. E. Silva, *Mixing on a class of rank-one transformations*, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. **24** (2004), 407–440.
- [Da1] A. I. Danilenko, *Funny rank-one weak mixing for nonsingular Abelian actions*, Isr. J. Math. **121** (2001), 29–54.
- [Da2] ———, *Infinite rank one actions and nonsingular Chacon transformations*, Illinois J. Math. **48** (2004), 769–786.
- [Da3] ———, *On simplicity concepts for ergodic actions*, in preparation.
- [DaS1] A. I. Danilenko and C. E. Silva, *Multiple and polynomial recurrence for Abelian actions in infinite measure*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **69** (2004), 183–200.
- [DaS2] ———, *Mixing rank-one actions of locally compact Abelian groups*, preprint.
- [Fa] B. Fayad, *Rank one and mixing differentiable flows*, Invent. Math. **160** (2005), 305–340.

- [Ju] A. del Junco, *A simple map with no prime factors*, Isr. J. Math. **104** (1998), 301–320.
- [JuR] A. del Junco and D. Rudolph, *On ergodic actions whose self-joinings are graphs*, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. **7** (1987), 531–557.
- [JuY] A. del Junco and R. Yassawi, *Multiple mixing rank one group actions*, Canadian J. Math. **52** (2000), 332–347.
- [Ka] S. A. Kalikow, *Twofold mixing implies threefold mixing for rank one transformations*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **4** (1984), 237–259.
- [Ki] J. L. King, *Joining-rank and the structure of finite rank mixing transformations*, J. d’Analyse Math. **51** (1988), 182–227.
- [Ma] B. Madore, *Rank-one group actions with simple mixing \mathbb{Z} -subactions*, New York J. Math. **10** (2004), 175–194.
- [Or] D. S. Ornstein, *On the root problem in ergodic theory*, Proc. Sixth Berkley Symp. Math. Stat. Prob. (Univ. California, Berkeley, Calif., 1970/1971), vol. II, Univ. of California Press, Berkeley, Calif., 1972, pp. 347–356.
- [Pr] A. Prikhodko, *Stochastic constructions of flows of rank one*, Mat. Sb. **192** (2001), 61–92.
- [Ru] D. J. Rudolph, *An example of a measure preserving map with minimal self-joinings*, J. d’Analyse Math. **35** (1979), 97–122.
- [Ry] V. V. Ryzhikov, *Mixing, rank and minimal self-joining of actions with invariant measure*, Mat. Sb. **183** (1992), 133–160.

INSTITUTE FOR LOW TEMPERATURE PHYSICS & ENGINEERING OF UKRAINIAN NATIONAL
 ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 47 LENIN AVE., KHARKOV, 61164, UKRAINE
E-mail address: `danilenko@ilt.kharkov.ua`