Weak continuous monitoring of a flux qubit using coplanar waveguide resonator
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We study a flux qubit in a coplanar waveguide resonator by measuring transmission through the system. In our system with the flux qubit decoupled galvanically from the resonator, the intermediate coupling regime is achieved. In this regime, dispersive readout is possible with weak back action on the qubit. The detailed theoretical analysis and simulations give good agreement with the experimental data and allow us to make the qubit characterization.
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Implementation of a scalable solid state quantum system for either quantum information processing devices or quantum limited detectors requires high-fidelity readout methods for its quantum states. For superconducting qubits the switching of a dc superconducting quantum interference device or a probe junction to the normal state was used for the determination of the qubit states. However, the switching to the normal state leads to strong backaction from the measurement device on the qubit. In order to minimize detector-qubit backaction the dispersive type of measurements has been proposed and implemented. In the frame of this method a qubit is coupled to a resonator with a resonance frequency much lower than the qubit transition frequency. The phase of the oscillator modes was monitored to perform qubit measurements.

If the qubit’s characteristic frequency is comparable to the eigenfrequency of the resonator, coherent interaction between the quantum oscillator and the artificial atom (qubit) can be realized. In the optical domain this is known as cavity quantum electrodynamics (cQED) which can precisely describe the entanglement of a single two-level quantum system (e.g., spin 1/2) and a cavity field. Solid-state cQED based on superconducting circuits has been suggested and demonstrated using superconducting qubits coupled to a superconducting transmission line resonator. To observe resonator-qubit entanglement the coherent coupling strength between them should exceed the rate of incoherent processes in this system. Quantitatively this means that , where is the coupling energy between qubit and resonator, and is the resonator loss rate and is the qubit dephasing rate (the so-called strong coupling regime).

Besides studying the fundamentally interesting strong coupling regime, the same architecture can be used for the readout of qubits as well. In the dispersive regime, when the qubit transition frequency is far from the resonator frequency, even a quantum nondemolition (QND) measurement can be performed provided the photon numbers in the resonator are low enough. The phase change in the resonator at a particular (probe) frequency can be monitored to perform qubit state readout. This measurement can be done in either a weak continuous or pulse regime.

In the dispersive regime, when the resonator acts as a qubit detector, the resonator-qubit backaction can be minimized by decreasing the coupling . However, in order to measure resonator-qubit dynamics the condition should be fulfilled in order to avoid the performance degradation of the resonator as a detector. In this work, we test experimentally the resonator-qubit system with intermediate coupling where and .

The resonator was fabricated by e-beam lithography and reactive-ion etching of a 200 nm thick Nb-film deposited on an undoped silicon substrate. The length of the resonator’s central conductor is , its width is , and the gap between the central conductor and the ground plane is , which results in a wave impedance of about , and a resonance frequency determined by loading loss in the external 50 Ω impedance. In the middle of the resonator, the central conductor is tapered to a width of 1 µm and a length of 30 µm with 9 µm gap [see Fig. 1(a)], which provides the necessary qubit-resonator coupling.

The Al persistent current qubit is fabricated in the central (tapered) part of the resonator by using conventional two-
controlled by the external dc magnetic flux to the resonator. The coupling constant decay rates of flux qubits. The loop is interrupted by been numerically estimated and is of the same order as the through the qubit-resonator system as a function of the decay rates of flux qubits. The loop is interrupted by three Josephson junctions. Two of them have a nominal size of 800 × 180 nm², while the third is about 35% smaller.

Two cryoperm shields and one superconducting (lead) shield enclosed the sample in order to minimize the influence of external magnetic fields. The sample was thermally anchored to the mixing chamber of a dilution refrigerator, providing a base temperature of less than 10 mK. Measurements of the amplitude as well as the phase φ of the transmitted signal through the qubit-resonator system as a function of applied power and frequency were performed by using a network analyzer. Attenuators are located at different temperature stages on the input line preventing thermal noise of those stages to reach the sample. The transmitted signal is amplified by a cryogenic amplifier placed at the 4.2 K stage and several room temperature amplifiers. An isolator (circular with one terminated port) placed at the mixing chamber is used to protect the sample from back action of the amplifier. The qubit energy bias is controlled via two small external coils located underneath and above the sample.

The qubit-resonator system in the natural (flux) basis is described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{\hbar}{2} \mathcal{E}(\Phi_\circ) \sigma_z - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \sigma_x + \hbar \omega_0 a^\dagger a + \hbar g \sigma_z (a + a^\dagger),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \( \mathcal{E}(\Phi_\circ) = 2 \Phi_\circ J_\circ (1 - \Phi_\circ / \Phi_\circ) \) is the bias of the flux qubit controlled by the external dc magnetic flux \( \Phi_\circ \), \( \Phi_0 = \hbar / 2e \) is the magnetic flux quantum, and \( I_p \) is persistent current of the flux qubit \( \sigma_{x,z} \) that are the Pauli matrices, \( \Delta \) is the tunnel splitting of the flux qubit, and \( a^\dagger \) and \( a \) are the photon creation and annihilation operators. After transformation to the eigenbasis of the qubit and neglecting the small diagonal terms, the Hamiltonian can be rewritten as

$$H = \frac{\hbar \omega_q}{2} \sigma_z + \hbar \omega_0 a^\dagger a + \hbar g \sigma_z (a + a^\dagger) \sigma_x,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where \( g = g / \sqrt{\epsilon^2 + \Delta^2} \) is the normalized coupling, and \( \hbar \omega_q = \sqrt{\epsilon^2 + \Delta^2} \) is the energy level separation of the qubit.

For \( n \) photons in the resonator, the qubit-resonator dynamics is completely confined to a two dimensional subspace with basis \( |g\rangle |n\rangle \) and \( |e\rangle |n-1\rangle \). The eigenenergies relative to the ground state of the Hamiltonian of Eq. (2) can be expressed in the analytical form

$$\frac{\Delta E_n}{\hbar} = \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \omega_r + \frac{\omega_q}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left( \frac{\delta}{2} \right)^2 + g^2 (n + 1)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

were \( \delta = \omega_q - \omega_r \) is the qubit-resonator detuning. By measuring the transmission through the resonator, the transition frequencies of the qubit-resonator system can be probed. If there is no interaction between the qubit and the resonator, the system should respond only at frequencies corresponding to the eigenenergies of the qubit \( \hbar \omega_q \) and resonator \( \hbar \omega_r \).

Figure 2(a) demonstrates the experimentally measured transmission amplitude \( t \). The finite coupling \( g \) allows us to observe anticrossings. The crossing points are visible at \( \omega_q = \omega_r \) [dotted white lines in Fig. 2(a)]. The white solid lines were calculated using Eq. (3) for the lowest photon transition, which corresponds to the mean photon number in the resonator less then one.

In contrast to strong coupling where two well-resolved spectral lines separated by the vacuum Rabi frequency at the resonance point \( (\delta=0) \) have been observed, we have detected the broadening of the transmission peak only and the peaks disappeared at \( \delta=0 \). Numerical simulations show that this is a feature of the intermediate coupling when indeed \( g \ll \gamma \). However, in spite of the fact that the coupling is relatively weak, we have clearly observed the anticrossing features.

To investigate the qubit-resonator dissipative dynamics in details, we analyze the Markovian master equation for the density matrix \( \rho \)

$$\dot{\rho} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[H, \rho] + L[\rho],$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where the dissipative (Lindblad) term \( L = L_r + L_q \) presents two incoherent processes: dissipation in the resonator (photon decay)

$$L_r = \frac{\kappa}{2} (2a a^\dagger - a^\dagger a - \hbar \sigma_z (a + a^\dagger))$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

and qubit decoherence: relaxation with rate \( \gamma_1 \) and pure dephasing with rate \( \gamma_\phi \).
FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) Normalized transmission amplitude of the resonator as a function of the qubit energy bias $\epsilon$ and the driving frequency. The data were measured at a nominal temperature of the mixing chamber below 10 mK, ensured $k_B T < h \omega_q$, $k_B T < h \Omega$. The calculated frequencies of the lowest transition in the qubit-resonator system are depicted as white solid lines. (b) Theoretical calculations. The number of photons is taken to be less than 1; other parameters are taken from the experiment. $\gamma$ is adjusted for better correspondence of the transmission in vicinity of anticrossings.

$$L_q = \frac{\gamma_i}{2} (2 \sigma^+ \rho \sigma^- - \sigma^+ \rho \sigma^- - \rho \sigma^+ \sigma^-) + \frac{\gamma_q}{2} (\sigma^+ \rho \sigma^- - \rho \sigma^+ \sigma^-),$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $\sigma_\pm = (\sigma_x \pm i \sigma_y)/2$.

We consider a one-dimensional (1D) (coplanar waveguide) resonator of size $L(-L/2 \leq x \leq L/2)$ driven by the external voltage $V_{ex}(t) = V_{in} \cos \omega t$ from the left hand side through the pointlike coupling capacitance $C_r$ located at $x = -L/2$. The excited voltage field within the resonator can be presented as $V(x,t) = -V_{in} (a e^{-i \omega t} + a^\dagger e^{i \omega t}) \sin(\pi x/L)$, where $V_r = \sqrt{h \omega / C_r}$, and $C_r$ is the resonant central line capacitance. The interaction Hamiltonian is $H_{int} = C_r V_{in}(t) V(-L/2,t) t$ and the total driven system Hamiltonian in the rotating wave approximation in vicinity of the qubit-resonator resonance

FIG. 3. (Color online) Transmission phase of the resonator as a function of the qubit excitation frequency $\epsilon/h$. The black line depicts the fitted energy level splitting of the qubit.

\[ \omega_r - \omega_q \text{ and with small detunings } \delta_r = \omega - \omega_q \text{ and } \delta_q = \omega - \omega_q \text{ is} \]

\[ H = -\frac{\hbar}{2} \delta_r \sigma_z^r a + \hbar g_r (a^\dagger \sigma_x^r + a \sigma_x^r) + \frac{\hbar \Omega}{2} (a^\dagger + a), \]

where $\hbar \Omega = C_r V_{in} V_r$.

Solving the stationary master equation Eq. (4) ($\dot{\rho} = 0$), one can calculate the observable quantities. In particular, the expectation value of the photon field in the resonator in the considered weak driving limit (with the truncated photon space to two states) is

\[ \langle a \rangle = -\frac{i \Omega/2}{\delta_r^* - g_r^2 / \delta_q^*}, \]

where $\delta_r^* = \delta_r + i \kappa/2$ and $\delta_q^* = \delta_q + i \gamma$ are redefined detunings and $\gamma = \gamma_1/2 + \gamma_2$ is the total qubit dephasing. The amplitude of the field at the output of the resonator (at $x=L/2+0$) is $V_{out}(t) = -i \omega C_r V_r \langle a \rangle e^{-i \omega t} + \langle a^\dagger \rangle e^{i \omega t}$. And using the explicit expression for the photon relaxation rate $\kappa = 2 Z \Omega^2 / C_r$, we find the transmission amplitude (defined as $t = V_{out}/V_{in}$) to be

\[ t = -\frac{i \kappa/2}{\delta_r^* - g_r^2 / \delta_q^*}. \]

In order to characterize the qubit energy, we carry out spectroscopic measurements in the following way. Two waves are applied: an excitation wave, which excites the qubit transitions and thereby results in a change of the resonator transmission, and a probing one close to $\omega_r$, which enables us to measure the transmission. Using the qubit parameters, $t$ is calculated according to Eq. (9) and plotted in Fig. 2(b) as a density plot versus $\delta_r$ and $\epsilon$. In this plot, $\gamma$ was used as an adjustable parameter for better correspondence with the experimental data in Fig. 2(a) and found to be $\gamma/2 \pi = 0.26 \text{ MHz}$.

To understand the effect of the qubit state on the resonator transmission far away from the anticrossings ($|\delta| \gg g_r$), the
Hamiltonian in Eq. (2) can be transformed\(^9\) to
\[
H = \hbar \left( \omega_0 + \frac{g_a^2}{\delta} \sigma_z \right) a^\dagger a + \frac{\hbar}{2} \left( \omega_0 + \frac{g_v^2}{\delta} \right) \sigma_z.
\]  
(10)

The first term contains the ac Zeeman shift of the resonance frequency, which depends on the state of the qubit as well as on its detuning. The ac-Zeeman frequency shift is visualized by the color profile in Fig. 3. It is positive (negative) for \(\delta < 0\) (\(\delta > 0\)). If the driving microwave field is in resonance with the qubit energy levels, the expectation value of \(\sigma_z\) becomes zero, resulting in disappearance of the ac-Zeeman shift. Therefore, the green traces appear in Fig. 3 following the relation for energy levels separation of the qubit \(\omega_0(\varepsilon)\). By fitting this curve (black solid line) the persistent current \(I_p = 180\) nA as well as the gap \(\Delta/\hbar = 1.8\) GHz of the qubit were determined. A similar effect was observed by Schuster \textit{et al.} with a charge qubit.\(^{16}\)

In conclusion, we demonstrate that in the galvanically decoupled resonator-flux qubit system, an intermediate coupling, i.e., \(g \lesssim \gamma\), is possible. With the intermediate coupling, the main quantum mechanical features of this system are observable: the ac-Zeeman shift, the anticrossing between the single photon in the resonator and the qubit, as well as the level splitting of the qubit versus external bias.
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